Neutrino assisted GUT baryogenesis - revisited

Wei-Chih Huang[1] , Heinrich Päs[2] , Sinan Zeissner[3]

Fakultät für Physik, Technische Universität Dortmund,
44221 Dortmund, Germany

arXiv:1608.04354v1 [hep-ph] 15 Aug 2016

Abstract

Many GUT models conserve the difference between the baryon and lepton number, $B-L$. This results in baryon and lepton asymmetries from heavy Higgs or gauge boson decays with $B+L \neq 0$ but with $B-L=0$. Since sphaleron processes violate $B+L$ as well, such an asymmetry will finally be washed out completely, making such GUT baryogenesis scenarios incapable of generating the observed baryon asymmetry of the Universe. In this work, we revisit the idea to revive GUT baryogenesis, proposed by Fukugita and Yanagida, where right-handed neutrinos wash out the lepton asymmetry before sphaleron processes can significantly wash out the original $B+L$ asymmetry, and in this way one can prevent the total washout of the initial baryon asymmetry. We extend the original work to a larger range of Yukawa couplings, a realistic three-flavor model and solve the Boltzmann equations for baryon and lepton asymmetries. Large regions in the parameter space featuring successful baryogenesis are identified.

[1] *wei-chih.huang@tu-dortmund.de*
[2] *heinrich.paes@tu-dortmund.de*
[3] *sinan.zeissner@tu-dortmund.de*

1 Introduction

The unaccounted baryon asymmetry in the Universe is one of reasons why the Standard Model (SM) is imperfect and challenges physicists to come up with new ideas of asymmetry generation mechanisms. Grand Unified Theories (GUT), on the other hand, elegantly unify all SM gauge couplings except for gravity and provide a beautiful playground for baryogenesis model building. The simplest GUT based on the SU(5) model from Georgi and Glashow proposed in 1974 [1], contains leptoquark gauge bosons which violate baryon number, making the proton unstable while preserving the difference between the baryon and lepton number $B - L$. This allows for a baryon asymmetry production from heavy gauge or Higgs boson decays fulfilling all Sakharov conditions [2–7] which however is accompanied by an equal amount of lepton asymmetry. Thus the generated baryon and lepton asymmetry will be erased completely by the non-perturbative sphaleron processes [8–10] which are effective when the temperature of the Universe falls below roughly 10^{12} GeV making these processes unsuitable for successful baryogenesis. The same problem also arises in other larger symmetry groups, such as $SO(10)$, which contains $U(1)_{B-L}$ as a subgroup. In other words, if $U(1)_{B-L}$ is not broken when the baryon asymmetry is created, i.e., initially $B + L \neq 0$ with $B - L = 0$, neither the baryon nor the lepton asymmetry will survive the sphaleron processes.

In principle there exist two possible remedies for GUT baryogenesis. For certain matter representations under $SO(10)$ or larger groups it has been demonstrated in Refs [11–16], that a non-vanishing $B - L$ asymmetry can still be realized. On the other hand, Fukugita and Yanagida [17] have proposed to involve right-handed neutrinos to revive GUT baryogenesis, where the right-handed neutrino N can be a singlet under $SU(5)$ or be embedded into the **16** of $SO(10)$. A Majorana mass of N, which can arise from a vacuum expectation value of a scalar or can simply be imposed by hand, will explicitly violate the $B - L$ symmetry, that is a subgroup of the original GUT symmetry or is an accidental symmetry. The corresponding part of the Lagrangian reads

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y(\overline{\ell} \cdot H^*)N + \frac{M_N}{2}\overline{N^c}N + H.c., \tag{1.1}$$

where $\ell = \left(\nu_L \; e_L^-\right)^T$ is the $SU(2)_L$ lepton doublet and H is the SM Higgs doublet. Due to the Majorana mass term M_N which violates the lepton number L, the right-handed neutrinos can mediate washout processes

$$\ell\ell \leftrightarrow H^*H^*, \quad \ell H \leftrightarrow \bar{\ell}H^*. \tag{1.2}$$

which destroy the initial lepton number from GUT baryogenesis, while leaving the baryon number intact resulting in a non-vanishing $B - L$ asymmetry which cannot completely be washed out by sphaleron effects. That means the washout processes mediated by N-exchange generate non-vanishing $B - L$ asymmetry from the initial condition of vanishing $B - L$ but finite $B + L$ asymmetry, making a part of the baryon asymmetry immune against the action of the sphalerons. As a result, both final B and L asymmetries can become nonzero. The processes are pictorially explained in Fig. 1.



Figure 1: Pictorial illustration of asymmetry conversion. The left panel shows both B and L asymmetries created in equal amounts from GUT models which preserve $B-L$. In the center panel half of the L asymmetry is converted into scalars H^* via washout processes mediated by right-handed neutrinos. The right panel shows how sphalerons finally erase $B+L$ asymmetry while conserving $B-L$, thus resulting in a nonzero B asymmetry after the electroweak phase transition (EWPT).

This entire process can result in a non-zero baryon asymmetry after the electroweak phase transition, if the L washout processes mediated by right-handed neutrinos are active before the onset of sphaleron processes. It is important that sphaleron and L washout processes do not coexist for too long since simultaneous L- and $(B+L)$-violating interactions will erase both the B and L asymmetries. Note that the Yukawa couplings, that couple right-handed to left-handed leptons via the Higgs boson, are not in thermal equilibrium above a temperature of 10^{12} GeV for τ, 10^9 GeV for μ and 10^5 GeV for e. As a consequence, the washout processes (1.2) may only erase an initial asymmetry stored in the $SU(2)_L$ lepton doublets but not in the right-handed charged leptons, depending on the temperature of the Universe. Since the change of L will induce the corresponding change of the Higgs boson number, one has $\Delta L\ (=-\Delta(B-L))=\Delta H$. When these processes are in chemical equilibrium, the chemical potentials of L and H^* are equal. Thus at most half of the initial L asymmetry is converted into H^* as shown in the center panel of Fig. 1. In other words, the maximal generated $B-L$ asymmetry is one fourth of the initial $B+L$ asymmetry produced in the GUT baryogenesis. The SM Yukawa couplings later come into equilibrium and shuffle the asymmetry among quarks, leptons and Higgs bosons, and the final B and L asymmetries will be functions of the $B-L$ asymmetry, as shown in the right panel of Fig. 1.

In this work, we revisit the idea of N-assisted GUT baryogenesis by numerically solving the Boltzmann equations including lepton number violating ($\not{L}$) as well as the sphaleron processes, which allows a quantitative study of the relevant parameter space. We assume that GUT baryogenesis takes place below the scale of the right-handed neutrino mass M_N such that there is no population of the right-handed neutrinos when the washouts are effective. This assumption significantly simplifies the analysis. As a consequence, the pertinent L washout processes are $\ell\ell \leftrightarrow H^*H^*$ and $\ell H \leftrightarrow \bar{\ell}H^*$. Special attention is drawn into investigation of the interplay between the washout and sphaleron processes, from which one can infer the condition for obtaining the maximal final baryon and lepton asymmetry. We start with the case of one lepton generation and one right-handed neutrino and then generalize this scenario

to the realistic case of three generations plus two right-handed neutrinos.

2 Boltzmann equations for L washout

The Boltzmann equation for leptons ℓ reads,

$$zHs\frac{Y_\ell}{dz} = \sum_{a_i,f_j} \frac{n_{f_1}\cdots n_{f_m}}{n^{\rm eq}_{f_1}\cdots n^{\rm eq}_{f_m}}\gamma^{\rm eq}\left(f_1\cdots f_m \leftrightarrow \ell a_1\cdots a_n\right). \tag{2.1}$$

Here Y ($\equiv n/s$) denotes the particle number density normalized to the entropy density s, $z = M_N/T$ and γ^{eq} is the thermal rate, defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{eq}(\ell a_1\cdots a_n \to f_1\cdots f_m) = &\prod_{a_i}\Big[\int \frac{{\rm d}^3p_{a_i}}{2E_{a_i}(2\pi)^3}e^{-\frac{E_{a_i}}{T}}\Big]\times\prod_{f_j}\Big[\int\frac{{\rm d}^3p_{f_j}}{2E_{f_j}(2\pi)^3}e^{-\frac{E_{f_j}}{T}}\Big]\\ &\times(2\pi)^4\delta^4\Big(p_\ell+\sum_{i=1}^n p_{a_i}-\sum_{j=1}^m p_{f_j}\Big)|M|^2,\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with the squared amplitude summing over initial and final spins $|M|^2$.

To simplify the analysis, we first focus on the $1+1$ scenario, i.e. one generation of SM leptons and one right-handed neutrino. Moreover, we assume the scale of GUT baryon asymmetry is slightly below the mass of right-handed neutrino. Therefore, there is no population of N during washout. Note that this assumption is only used to simplify the analysis. The $B-L$ asymmetry can also be created if the $B+L$ injection scale is higher than M_N as long as the Yukawa coupling y does not carry any CP phase[1].

The relevant $\Delta L = 2$ washout processes, $\ell H \leftrightarrow \bar{\ell}H^*$ and $\ell\ell \leftrightarrow H^*H^*$ (and its complex conjugate) are shown in Fig. 2. We first derive Boltzmann equations for L-violating interac-



Figure 2: N-mediated lepton number violating processes.

tions in the framework of one lepton generation and one right-handed neutrino. The squared scattering amplitude with the center of mass energy squared s for the $\ell H \leftrightarrow \bar{\ell}H^*$ shown in Fig. 2 is

$$|M_1|^2 = \frac{|y|^4u(1-x)\left(16\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2}+(4-u(1-x))^2\right)}{2\left(\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2}+(1-u)^2\right)\left(4\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2}+(2+u(1+x))^2\right)} \tag{2.3}$$

[1]If there exist CP phases, then the decay of N is capable of yielding the lepton asymmetry, which corresponds to standard leptogenesis [18], without resorting to GUT baryogenesis.

with $u = s/M_N^2$ and $x = \cos(\theta)$, where θ is the angle between the incoming and the outgoing lepton.
For $\ell\ell \leftrightarrow H^*H^*$ (and the complex conjugate), the amplitude squared reads:

$$|M_2|^2 = \frac{4|y|^4 u \left(4\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2} + (2+u)^2\right)}{\left(4\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2} + (2+u)^2\right)^2 - 2u^2\left(-4\frac{\Gamma_N^2}{M_N^2} + (2+u)^2\right)x^2 + u^4x^4}. \tag{2.4}$$

The resulting thermal rates γ^{eq}, defined in Eq. (2.2), become

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma^{eq}(H\ell \leftrightarrow H^*\overline{\ell}) &= 2^2\frac{T}{64\pi^4}\int_0^\infty ds\int_0^\pi d\theta\sin(\theta)\frac{\sqrt{s}|M_1|^2}{16\pi}K_1\left(\frac{\sqrt{s}}{T}\right)\\ &= \frac{M_N^4}{256\pi^5 z}\int_0^\infty du\int_{-1}^1 dx\sqrt{u}|M_1|^2K_1\left(\sqrt{u}z\right), \qquad (2.5)\\ \gamma^{eq}(\ell\ell \leftrightarrow H^*H^*) &= \gamma^{eq}(\overline{\ell\ell} \leftrightarrow HH)\\ &= \frac{M_N^4}{256\pi^5 z}\int_0^\infty du\int_{-1}^1 dx\sqrt{u}|M_2|^2K_1\left(\sqrt{u}z\right). \qquad (2.6)\end{aligned}$$

The symmetry factor 2^2 is to account for the internal degrees of freedom of the $SU(2)_L$ doublets ℓ and H.

Finally, one obtains the Boltzmann equation for the lepton asymmetry

$$\frac{dY_{\Delta\ell}}{dz} = -\int_0^\infty du\int_{-1}^1 dx\frac{1}{z}\frac{\sqrt{u}\left(2|M_1|^2 + 4|M_2|^2\right)}{256\pi^3 M_N A}K_1\left(\sqrt{u}z\right)\left(\frac{Y_L}{g_L} - \frac{Y_{\Delta H}}{g_H}\right), \tag{2.7}$$

with $A = \frac{1}{M_{Pl}}\sqrt{\frac{8\pi^3}{90}g_*} \simeq 1.4\times10^{-18}\left[\frac{1}{\text{GeV}}\right]$, where M_{Pl} is the Planck mass and g_* is the number of relativistic degrees of freedom (106.75 for the SM).

Note that all of the washout processes in question feature $\Delta L = \Delta H$. In other words, the change of the lepton number asymmetry by one unit is accompanied by a corresponding change of one unit on the Higgs number,

$$\frac{1}{g_L}\frac{dY_{B-L}}{dz} = -\frac{1}{g_H}\frac{dY_{\Delta H}}{dz}, \tag{2.8}$$

with the degrees of freedom $g_L = g_H = 2$.

3 Sphalerons processes

We are now in a position to implement the sphaleron processes into the Boltzmann equations [19, 20] to study the interplay between the $\not{L}$ and $B+L$ violating ($\not{B+L}$) interactions,

$$\frac{\dot{Y}_B}{Y_B + Y_L} = \frac{\dot{Y}_L}{Y_B + Y_L} = \frac{39}{8}\left(18\alpha_W^5 T\right), \tag{3.1}$$

where $\dot{Y} = dY/dt$ and $dt = 0.6\, g_*^{-1/2}\, (M_{Pl}/M_N^2)\, z dz$. Obviously sphalerons will erase the B and L asymmetries at the same rate, so that the $B-L$ asymmetry remains constant, resulting in a new term in both the Boltzmann equations for Y_L and Y_B

$$\frac{dY_L}{dz} = -\int_0^\infty du \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{1}{z} \frac{\sqrt{u}\,(2|M_1|^2 + 4|M_2|^2)}{256\pi^3 M_N A} K_1(\sqrt{u}z) \left(\frac{Y_L}{g_L} + \frac{Y_{\Delta H}}{g_H} \right) + \frac{351}{4} \frac{\alpha_W^5 z^2}{A M_N} (Y_B + Y_L) \ , \tag{3.2}$$

$$\frac{dY_B}{dz} = \frac{351}{4} \frac{\alpha_W^5 z^2}{A M_N} (Y_B + Y_L) \, . \tag{3.3}$$

Alternatively, one can also solve the Boltzmann equations for the combinations Y_{B-L} and Y_{B+L},

$$\frac{dY_{B-L}}{dz} = \int_0^\infty du \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{1}{z} \frac{\sqrt{u}\,(2|M_1|^2 + 4|M_2|^2)}{256\pi^3 M_N A} K_1(\sqrt{u}z) \left(\frac{Y_{B+L} - Y_{B-L}}{2g_L} + \frac{Y_{\Delta H}}{g_H} \right) , \tag{3.4}$$

$$\frac{dY_{B+L}}{dz} = -\int_0^\infty du \int_{-1}^1 dx \frac{1}{z} \frac{\sqrt{u}\,(2|M_1|^2 + 4|M_2|^2)}{256\pi^3 M_N A} K_1(\sqrt{u}z) \left(\frac{Y_{B+L} - Y_{B-L}}{2g_L} + \frac{Y_{\Delta H}}{g_H} \right) + \frac{351}{2} \frac{\alpha_W^5 z^2}{A M_N} Y_{B+L} \ . \tag{3.5}$$

Since $B - L$ is conserved by the sphalerons, the final baryon and lepton asymmetry is thus directed related to the $B-L$ asymmetry generated in the washout processes. After all Yukawa interactions reach thermal equilibrium, one has

$$Y_B^{\rm final} = c_s Y_{B-L}^{\rm final} \ , \ \ Y_L^{\rm final} = (c_s - 1) Y_{B-L}^{\rm final} \ , \tag{3.6}$$

where $c_s = 28/79$ [21, 22] for non-supersymmetric models as assumed here.

The evolution of an initial $B + L$ asymmetry during the washout and sphaleron processes is illustrated qualitatively in Fig. 3. Assuming an initial asymmetry of $B = L = 1$, the dark/blue straight line shows how sphaleron processes would erase completely the initial asymmetry in the absence of any $\not{L}$ washout processes. The red/lighter solid and dashed lines demonstrate the effect of damped and undamped $\not{L}$ washout processes, respectively. In the first case the $\not{L}$ interactions are assumed to produce a time-dependent lepton number violation $dL/dt \sim -\exp(-t)$. One can see that the L asymmetry is eliminated faster than the B asymmetry and thus consequently a net $B-L$ asymmetry is created. At later times, the damped $\not{L}$ interaction becomes inefficient and the sphalerons yield $B + L = 0$, corresponding to a non-vanishing $B = -L \sim 0.1$. Finally, in the case of an undamped $\not{L}$ interaction, the $\not{L}$ washout processes in concurrence with the $\cancel{B+L}$ sphalerons eliminate both L and B asymmetries. This illustrates that the $\not{L}$ and $\cancel{B+L}$ cannot coexist for too long in order to generate non-vanishing final B and L asymmetries.



Figure 3: The evolution of an initial $B = L$ asymmetry in the presence of sphaleron processes, only (blue/dark straight line), and sphaleron processes plus damped and undamped $\not{L}$ washout interactions (lighter/red solid and dashed lines, respectively). Only in the case of damped $\not{L}$ interactions a final B and L asymmetry survives.

4 Numerical results



Figure 4: Contour plots of $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial}$ as a function of the Yukawa coupling y (vertical axis) and the right-handed neutrino mass M_N (horizontal axis). The initial $B + L$ generation is assumed to occur at $M_N/3$ (left panel) and $M_N/10$ (right panel). Three diagonal straight lines corresponding to different light neutrino masses resulting from the the type-I seesaw mechanism are shown.

As has been discussed before, the $\not{L}$ washout processes can convert an initial $B + L$ asymmetry originating from GUT baryogenesis into a final $B - L$ asymmetry being immune against the action of the sphaleron processes. The numerical results for the ratio of final $B - L$ over initial $B + L$ asymmetries, Y_{B-L}/Y_{B+L}, are shown in Fig. 4 as a function of the

Yukawa coupling y (vertical axis) and the right-handed neutrino mass M_N (horizontal axis). The contours correspond to different values $10^{-1}, 10^{-3}, 10^{-5}, 10^{-7}$ for the ratio Y_{B-L}/Y_{B+L}. In the left panel the $B+L$ injection from GUT baryogenesis has been assumed to proceed at $M_N/3$ while the right panel assumes the injection scale at $M_N/10$. As can be seen, the left and the right panels exhibit similar patterns, with two major differences. First, the right panel with the lower $B+L$ generation scale corresponds to a shorter period of $\not{L}$ washouts, and hence it requires a larger Yukawa coupling for compensation. As a result, the contours shift upward in the right panel compared to those in the left panel. Second, the lower injection scale corresponds to smaller washout effects at the time of injection where the resonance enhancement of $\not{L}$ processes occurs around $T \sim M_N$. Depending on the sphaleron rate at the injection scale, the interplay between both interactions can have an impact on the final $B-L$ asymmetry. It, for instance, causes a noticeable difference in the bottom-left corner of the two panels; In the left panel, both of $\not{L}$ and $\not{B+L}$ processes are strong at the time of $B+L$ injection, leading to a smaller final $B-L$ asymmetry as indicated by the larger white area around the bottom-left corner when compared to the right panel.

The final $B-L$ asymmetry depends strongly on the interplay and sequence of washout and sphaleron processes. This sequence has been illustrated in Fig. 5, where the interaction rates of the washout and sphaleron processes normalized to the Hubble expansion rate ($\Gamma/H \equiv \frac{1}{Y}\frac{dY}{dt}/\mathrm{H}.$) are displayed as functions of the temperature. The straight black line refers to the normalized sphaleron rate while the curved lines correspond to normalized $\not{L}$ rates in three typical cases, corresponding to the colored crosses in Fig. 4. The values in parentheses indicate the corresponding Yukawa coupling y and right-handed neutrino mass M_N, respectively.

A maximal $B-L$ asymmetry results around the top-right corner in Fig. 4 which corresponds to the situation where the $\not{L}$ interactions are effective before the sphalerons become active, while L-violation becomes negligible when the sphaleron processes are in equilibrium as shown by the blue/middle curved line in Fig. 5. A small $B-L$ asymmetry results from two scenarios. The first one corresponds to the bottom-right corner in Fig. 4, where the washout processes have never been fast enough to get into equilibrium as indicated by the right/purple curved line in Fig. 5 such that the initial $(B+L)$ is eradicated by the sphalerons. The second one corresponds to the top-left region in Fig. 4 where L- and $(B+L)$-violation coexist for a long time, as represented by the red/left curved line in Fig. 5. In both latter cases the B and L asymmetries are wiped out.

The common, general features for the normalized washout rates in Fig. 5 can be understood as follows: When the temperature is much lower than M_N, all the rates scale as

$$\frac{\Gamma}{H} \sim \frac{y^4 T^3}{M_N^2}\frac{M_{Pl}}{T^2} = \frac{y^4 M_{Pl}}{M_N^2} T. \tag{4.1}$$

The resonance enhancement appears when $T \sim M_N$ – the smaller y the larger enhancement.

From the left panel of Fig. 4, we can infer that a Yukawa coupling of $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ and $M_N \gtrsim 10^{13}$ GeV are necessary to achieve $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial} \gtrsim 10^{-2}$. For M_N smaller than $\mathcal{O}(10^{12})$ GeV,



Figure 5: The normalized sphaleron (black straight line) and $\not{L}$ washout rates (red/left, blue/middle, and purple/right curved lines) with respective to the Hubble expansion rate. The entries in the parentheses are y and M_N, respectively. The three different washout rates correspond to three crosses of the same color in the left panel of Fig. 4.

$Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial}$ can not exceed 10^{-1} regardless of the value of y as explained above. Depending on the initial $B+L$ asymmetry, one can find regions of the parameter space to reproduce the desired $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final} \simeq 2.4 \times 10^{-10}$ which corresponds to the observed baryon asymmetry, $Y_B^{\rm final} = 8.7 \times 10^{-11}$ [23]. The black solid line indicates the active neutrino mass m_ν equal to 0.23 eV that comes from Planck bounds on the sum of the active neutrino masses [23] while the dashed and dotted black lines represent $m_\nu = \sqrt{\Delta m^2_{atm}} \simeq 0.05$ eV and $m_\nu = \sqrt{\Delta m^2_{sol}} \simeq 8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ eV, respectively [24]. Therefore, to obtain the mass squared difference corresponding to atmospheric neutrino oscillations from a type-I seesaw mechanism and to have $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial} \gtrsim 10^{-1}$ implies a lower bound on the right-handed neutrino mass of 3×10^{13} GeV with $y \gtrsim 0.3$. Note that the ratio $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial}$ is independent of the value of $Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial}$ since the Boltzmann Equations are linear in Y_B and Y_L.

In ref. [17] it was concluded that for $M_N \sim 10^{16}$ GeV and $y \sim 1$ the observed baryon asymmetry can be realized. Our numerical results show that the parameter region around $M_N \sim 10^{16}$ GeV and $y \sim 1$ corresponds to maximal washout, i.e., $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial} \sim \mathcal{O}(0.1)$. Thus, our results on the 1+1 scenario are compatible with those of Ref. [17].

5 Generalization to the $3+2$ case

Finally, we study the case of three lepton flavors and two right-handed neutrinos, required to reproduce two mass differences inferred from neutrino oscillations.

Before we present the numerical results, we would like to comment on properties of the 3+2 case. First, for temperatures above 10^{12} GeV none of the charged lepton Yukawa couplings is in thermal equilibrium and so three lepton generations are indistinguishable [25], implying that the neutrino mixing matrix (PMNS matrix) will not enter the computation. Second, since one linear combination of the three active neutrinos will not couple to the right-handed neutrinos and hence remains massless, the asymmetry stored in this combination will not be

washed out. Third, the two massive light neutrinos which couple to N will participate in washouts and the asymmetry stored therein will be partially converted into the asymmetry of H. The maximal conversion is obtained when $\mu_{L_1} = \mu_{L_2} = -\mu_H$, where μ_{L_1} and μ_{L_2} refer to the chemical potential of two massive eigenstates. If, for instance, the initial lepton asymmetry is uniformly distributed among three eigenstates, then the maximal $B-L$ asymmetry is only one ninth of the initial $B+L$ asymmetry which is smaller than in the previous 1+1 case where the maximal $B-L$ asymmetry could reach $\frac{1}{4}(B+L)_{\rm initial}$.

In the following, instead of exploring the full parameter space which is quite large in the $3+2$ case, a simplified framework, where each massive light neutrino couples only to one of heavy right-handed neutrinos but not to both of them, is chosen to highlight the main features. The relevant Lagrangian is

$$\mathcal{L} \supset y_1 \bar{\ell}_1 H^* N_1 + y_2 \bar{\ell}_2 H^* N_2 + \frac{1}{2} M_1 \overline{N_1^c} N_1 + \frac{1}{2} M_2 \overline{N_2^c} N_2, \tag{5.1}$$

where the neutrinos ν_1 and ν_2 in the $SU(2)_L$ doublets ℓ_1 and ℓ_2 respectively are the mass eigenstates with $m_1 = y_1^2 v^2/M_1$ and $m_2 = y_2^2 v^2/M_2$ while ν_3 in ℓ_3 remains massless ($m_3 = 0$). Due to the fact the PMNS matrix will not have an impact on the washout computation for $T > 10^{12}$ GeV as explained above, we only require m_1 and m_2 to reproduce the two mass squared differences associated with solar and atmospheric neutrinos.

The previous washout calculation is repeated, taking into account both the contributions of N_1 and N_2. We assume that the GUT $B+L$ injection scale is one third of the minimum of M_1 and M_2. In addition, the normal mass hierarchy is presumed, so $m_1 = \sqrt{\Delta m^2_{sol}} \simeq 8.6 \times 10^{-3}$ eV and $m_2 = \sqrt{\Delta m^2_{atm}} \simeq 0.05$ eV.



Figure 6: Left panel: the ratio of final $B-L$ to initial $B+L$ versus M_2, assuming $M_1 = 10^{13}$ GeV in the $3+2$ case. Right panel: As Fig. 5 for the 3+2 case, for three representative points marked by crosses in the left panel. The N_1 mediated washout applies to all three cases with different M_2. The N_2-mediated washout rate Γ_2 is indicated by the three solid lines, corresponding to three different masses of N_2. The N_1-induced washout rate Γ_1 is denoted by the purple dotted line.

For $M_1 = 10^{13}$ GeV, the ratio of $Y^{\rm final}_{B-L}$ to $Y^{\rm final}_{B+L}$ is shown in the left panel of Fig. 6 as a function of M_2. The ratio is suppressed strongly for small M_2, peaks around $M_2 \sim 2.5 \times 10^{13}$

GeV with $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial} \gtrsim 10^{-2}$ and flattens for larger M_2. Its shape can be understood from the interplay between the sphaleron and washout interaction rates as displayed in the right panel, where three cases correspond to three crosses in the left panel are presented. The N_2-induced washout rate Γ_2 is indicated by the solid lines while the N_1-mediated one is denoted by the purple dotted line. The vertical dashed lines refer to the corresponding $B+L$ injection scale which is simply $\frac{1}{3}\min(M_1, M_2)$; for the two cases with $M_2 > M_1$, the scale is at $M_1/3$. For low M_2, for example the blue/left cross in the left panel, both the sphaleron and N_2-mediated lepton number violation rates are very fast as seen from the right panel such that both the B and L asymmetries are erased. For larger M_2, also the $B+L$ injection scale (assumed to be $M_2/3$) is larger, implying a smaller sphaleron rate ($\Gamma_{\rm Sph}/H \sim 1/T$), in the region of the washout resonance ($T \sim M_2$). Consequently the final $B-L$ asymmetry is larger, since both Γ_1 and Γ_2 are active while sphaleron processes becomes suppressed. When M_2 exceeds M_1, the $B+L$ injection scale is determined by M_1 and hence is unrelated to M_2. In this region, L violation mainly comes from N_1 since $\Gamma_1 \gg \Gamma_2$ and therefore the final $B-L$ asymmetry is independent of M_2. To summarize, regions with large M_1 and M_2 can achieve sizable washouts and accommodate the observed mass squared differences in the simplified 3+2 case. For general $3+2$ cases, each light neutrino can have both N_1 and N_2 contributions. The conclusions drawn above remain valid as long as there is no severe cancellation between the two contributions.

6 Conclusions

In this work, we have revisited the idea proposed in Ref. [17], where a lepton asymmetry washout mediated by right-handed neutrinos can make a original baryon asymmetry created in GUT baryogenesis immune against sphaleron interactions. We have developed the scenario further by numerically solving the Boltzmann equations including both the L-violating washout and $(B+L)$-violating sphaleron processes. Large final baryon asymmetries can be obtained when the $\not{L}$ washout processes are in equilibrium before the sphaleron rates become large but are ineffective when the sphalerons are at work. This corresponds to regions of large right-handed neutrino mass ($M_N \gtrsim 10^{13}$ GeV).

For the case of one active and one right-handed neutrino N, we found that a maximal $B-L$ asymmetry is one fourth of the initial $B+L$ asymmetry because of the chemical equilibrium between the lepton doublet and the Higgs boson and due to the fact that $\Delta L = \Delta H$ holds for all of the relevant washout processes. Depending on the injection scale of $B+L$ from the heavy Higgs or gauge boson decays in the GUT baryogenesis, the washout efficiency, defined by the ratio of the final $B-L$ asymmetry to the initial $B+L$ asymmetry, can be of $\mathcal{O}(0.1)$ for the right-handed neutrino mass M_N above 10^{13} GeV and for Yukawa couplings $y \sim 0.1$. The neutrino mass squared differences corresponding to solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations can be accommodated within the region of maximal washout.

Moreover, we generalized the original 1+1 scenario to a more realistic case of three active and two right-handed neutrinos, where one light neutrino remains massless. Since the three lepton generations are not distinguishable for $T > 10^{12}$ GeV, the neutrino mixing matrix does not affect the computation of $\not{L}$ violating processes. Therefore, we choose a simplified framework where each light massive neutrino couples to only one of the two right-handed neutrinos but not both of them, and the two light masses obtained are responsible to reproduce the Δm^2 related to solar and atmospheric neutrino oscillations. The conclusions inferred are similar to those of the $1 + 1$ case. For lower right-handed neutrino masses, the final $B - L$ asymmetry is small since both the sphalerons and L-violating processes are efficient to wash out both B and L asymmetries. In regions with larger right-handed neutrino masses ($\gtrsim 10^{13}$ GeV), sphaleron processes becomes ineffective because of higher temperatures but L washouts are still active. Consequently, sizable washout arises with $Y_{B-L}^{\rm final}/Y_{B+L}^{\rm initial} \gtrsim 10^{-1}$, resulting in considerably large final $B - L$ and thus also B and L asymmetries.

Acknowledgments

We thank Evgeny Akhmedov for useful discussions. This work is supported by DGF Grant No. PA 803/10-1.

References

[1] H. Georgi and S. L. Glashow, Phys. Rev. Lett. **32**, 438 (1974).

[2] A. D. Sakharov, Pisma Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **5**, 32 (1967), [Usp. Fiz. Nauk161,61(1991)].

[3] A. Yu. Ignatiev, N. V. Krasnikov, V. A. Kuzmin, and A. N. Tavkhelidze, Phys. Lett. **B76**, 436 (1978).

[4] M. Yoshimura, Phys. Rev. Lett. **41**, 281 (1978), [Erratum: Phys. Rev. Lett.42,746(1979)].

[5] D. Toussaint, S. B. Treiman, F. Wilczek, and A. Zee, Phys. Rev. **D19**, 1036 (1979).

[6] S. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **42**, 850 (1979).

[7] S. M. Barr, G. Segre, and H. A. Weldon, Phys. Rev. **D20**, 2494 (1979).

[8] F. R. Klinkhamer and N. S. Manton, Phys. Rev. **D30**, 2212 (1984).

[9] P. B. Arnold and L. D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. **D36**, 581 (1987).

[10] P. B. Arnold and L. D. McLerran, Phys. Rev. **D37**, 1020 (1988).

[11] G. D. Coughlan *et al.*, Phys. Lett. **B158**, 401 (1985).

[12] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 2845 (1993), hep-ph/9209215.

[13] B. Garbrecht, T. Prokopec, and M. G. Schmidt, Nucl. Phys. **B736**, 133 (2006), hep-ph/0509190.

[14] Y. Achiman, Phys. Lett. **B653**, 325 (2007), hep-ph/0703215.

[15] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Rev. **D86**, 035018 (2012), 1203.5544.

[16] K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. **B715**, 328 (2012), 1206.5701.

[17] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 131602 (2002), hep-ph/0203194.

[18] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. **B174**, 45 (1986).

[19] G. D. Moore, Do we understand the sphaleron rate?, in *Strong and electroweak matter. Proceedings, Meeting, SEWM 2000, Marseille, France, June 13-17, 2000*, pp. 82–94, 2000, hep-ph/0009161.

[20] M. D'Onofrio, K. Rummukainen, and A. Tranberg, Phys. Rev. Lett. **113**, 141602 (2014), 1404.3565.

[21] S. Yu. Khlebnikov and M. E. Shaposhnikov, Nucl. Phys. **B308**, 885 (1988).

[22] J. A. Harvey and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. **D42**, 3344 (1990).

[23] Planck, P. A. R. Ade *et al.*, (2015), 1502.01589.

[24] Particle Data Group, K. A. Olive *et al.*, Chin. Phys. **C38**, 090001 (2014).

[25] S. Davidson, E. Nardi, and Y. Nir, Phys. Rept. **466**, 105 (2008), 0802.2962.